



Survey Flow

Block: Welcoming page (2 Questions)
Standard: Demographics (4 Questions)
Standard: Instructions for all (3 Questions)
Standard: Attention check (2 Questions)

BlockRandomizer: 1 - Evenly Present Elements

Block: Control+Woman (3 Questions)
Block: Control+Man (3 Questions)
Block: Experimental+Woman (3 Questions)
Block: Experimental+Man (3 Questions)

Block: Manipulation check (5 Questions)
Standard: Closing Instructions (3 Questions)

Branch: New Branch

If

If Case Number: B3369 Court: Eighth Federal District Court Proceeding Type: Grand Jury Inquiry Charg... Is Displayed
Or Case Number: B3369 Court: Eighth Federal District Court Proceeding Type: Grand Jury Inquiry Char... Is Displayed

Block: WDV_G (2 Questions)

Branch: New Branch

If

If Case Number: B3369 Court: Eighth Federal District Court Proceeding Type: Grand Jury Inquiry Charg... Is Displayed
Or Case Number: B3369 Court: Eighth Federal District Court Proceeding Type: Grand Jury Inquiry Charg... Is Displayed

Block: MDV_G (2 Questions)

Standard: DV exploratory (2 Questions)

Branch: New Branch

If

If Case B3369 - Eighth Federal District Court Charge: Embezzlement of Funds from Mercantile Trust Bank Defendant: Jane Baker Prosecutor: Ms. Baker, how long have you worked for the Mercantile Trust ... Is Displayed
Or Case B3369 - Eighth Federal District Court Charge: Embezzlement of Funds from Mercantile Trust Bank Defendant: Jane Baker Prosecutor: Ms. Baker, how long have you worked for the Mercantile Trust ... Is Displayed

Block: DV_TW (2 Questions)

Branch: New Branch

If

If Case B3369 - Eighth Federal District Court Charge: Embezzlement of Funds from Mercantile Trust Bank Defendant: John Baker Prosecutor: Mr. Baker, how long have you worked for the Mercantile Trust... Is Displayed

Or Case B3369 - Eighth Federal District Court Charge: Embezzlement of Funds from Mercantile Trust Bank Defendant: John Baker Prosecutor: Mr. Baker, how long have you worked for the Mercantile Trust ... Is Displayed

Block: DV_TM (2 Questions)

Standard: Debriefing (1 Question)

Page Break

Start of Block: Welcoming page

Info Dear participant, Welcome to the study Legal Decision-Making of the Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences of the University of Amsterdam (UvA) as part of a Bachelor's thesis project at PPLE. Please read the following text carefully before you start. If anything is unclear to you, feel free to contact the academic supervisor (d.fleming@uva.nl) or the student researcher (...). **Why is this research performed?** This study investigates decision-making in legal cases. The goal is to gain a deeper understanding of how jurors weigh evidence and which factors impact their judgment. **What happens during my participation?** In this study, you will act as a juror. You will be given instructions, read through a case transcript, and present your judgment. - The study will take around **5-10 minutes** - The participation involves **no risks or discomfort** - You will not be compensated - To participate you need to be **proficient in English** - To participate, you need to be **above 18 years old** **Is my participation voluntary?** Your participation is voluntary. If you want to stop, you can simply close the browser. After your participation, the researchers cannot link the research data to you anymore. **What happens to my data?** In this study, the University of Amsterdam will not collect your personal data directly. We make use of a third party to collect the research data and have a contract in place to guarantee your privacy. Research data can be published and reused in other research, but only in such a way that it cannot be traced back to you. **How long will my data be stored?** The anonymous research data and related materials will be securely archived for a minimum of 10 years after the project has finished. **Additional information** For questions about the study, either before you participate or afterwards, please contact the responsible researcher: David Fleming at d.fleming@uva.nl. For complaints about this study, please contact the Ethics Review Board (ERB) send an email to melding-ethiek-psy-fmg@uva.nl. For complaints about the processing of your personal data, you can contact the Data Protection Officer of the University of Amsterdam through fg@uva.nl. Kind regards, ... and David Fleming

Page Break

Consent Informed consent Please indicate if you agree with the described procedure for participation. Remember, if you need any further information about the survey, now or in the future, you can contact ... (...). By clicking on the button "I agree to participate in this study" I declare the following: · I am 18 years old or older · **I have sufficient English proficiency to understand the materials** · I read and understood the information about the research study · I agree to the study procedure and to the processing of personal data as described · I reserve the right to withdraw this consent without a reason · I reserve the right to stop the experiment at any time

- Yes, I agree to participate in this study (1)
- No, I would like to refrain from further participation in this study (2)

Skip To: End of Survey If Consent = 2

End of Block: Welcoming page

Start of Block: Demographics

Info Please fill in your demographic information.



Age What is your age in years?

Skip To: End of Survey If Condition: What is your age in years? Is Less Than 18. Skip To: End of Survey.

Gender What is your gender?

- Female (6)
- Male (5)
- Non-binary / third gender (7)
- Prefer not to say (8)

Nationality Which culture do you most strongly identify with? Please note, that this does not have to be your official nationality.

Culture (1)

▼ Afghan (1) ... Latvian (99)

End of Block: Demographics

Start of Block: Instructions for all

Info You are now acting as a juror in a criminal trial. Please carefully read the judge's instructions before reviewing the case.

Instructions info **Duty of Jury** It is your duty to evaluate all the evidence received in the case and to decide the facts. You must then apply the law as I give it to you, whether you agree with the law or not. You must decide the case solely on the evidence and the law before you. Perform these duties fairly and impartially. You should not be influenced by any person's race, color, religious beliefs, national ancestry, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender, or economic circumstances. Also, do not allow yourself to be influenced by personal likes or dislikes, sympathy, prejudice, fear, public opinion, or biases. **The Charge—Presumption of Innocence** This is a criminal case brought by the United States government, charging the defendant with embezzlement (a form of financial fraud which involves the unlawful use of money entrusted to someone). The defendant has pleaded not guilty and is presumed innocent unless and until the government proves the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The defendant has the right to remain silent and never has to prove innocence or present any evidence. **What is Evidence** The evidence you are to consider: - First, sworn testimony of any witness; - Second, exhibits admitted into evidence. **What is Not Evidence** The following things are not evidence, and you must not consider them: - First, statements and arguments of the attorneys; - Second, testimony that I instruct you to disregard. Sometimes I may order that evidence be stricken from the record or instruct you to disregard it. That means that you must not consider it when deciding the case. **Credibility of Witnesses** You may decide what testimony to believe—some, all, or none of it. You may consider: - First, the witness's opportunity and ability to see or hear or know the things testified to; - Second, the witness's memory; - Third, the witness's manner while testifying; - Fourth, the witness's interest in the outcome of the case; - Fifth, the witness's bias or prejudice; - Sixth, the reasonableness of their testimony in light of all evidence; - Lastly, any other factors that affect believability. Sometimes a witness may say something that is not consistent with something else he or she said. People often forget things or make mistakes in what they remember.



However, if you decide that a witness has deliberately testified untruthfully about something important, you may choose not to believe anything they said. Avoid bias based on a witness's race, color, religious beliefs, national ancestry, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender, or economic circumstances in your determination of credibility.

Timing Instruct Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

End of Block: Instructions for all

Start of Block: Attention check

AC_I From the instructions read, which is correct?

- You can rely on personal opinions and biases. (1)
 - A witness's credibility is determined by their race or age. (4)
 - The defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty. (2)
 - You can ignore the judge's instructions if you disagree. (3)
-

Timer AC_I Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

End of Block: Attention check

Start of Block: Control+Woman

Info CW Now, please proceed to carefully read the case details below. This is the trial of a woman named Jane Baker who is accused of embezzling funds from the bank where she is



employed. The following testimony is an excerpt from the transcript of the defendant's criminal trial. While several other witnesses also gave evidence, this testimony is likely the most significant in the case. Names of individuals not on trial have been redacted to protect privacy.

CW Case B3369 - Eighth Federal District Court Charge: Embezzlement of Funds from Mercantile Trust Bank **Defendant:** Jane Baker **Prosecutor:** Ms. Baker, how long have you worked for the Mercantile Trust Bank? **Defendant:** Six years. **Prosecutor:** What were your duties at the bank? **Defendant:** I've worked mainly at the front desk as a teller—handling customer transactions and payments. **Prosecutor:** Did you have any additional responsibilities? **Defendant:** Yes. **Prosecutor:** What were those duties? **Defendant:** I was responsible for protecting the physical accounting records and making sure only authorized staff could access them. **Prosecutor:** So, you had physical control of the financial documents—uh, you were responsible for their protection? **Defendant:** Yes, that's right. **Prosecutor:** Did you receive extra compensation for those duties? **Defendant:** Yes. **Prosecutor:** Did your job also involve any bookkeeping such as entering any figures or modifying financial documents? **Defendant:** No. **Prosecutor:** So, the job in no way involved making entries into the financial documents, just physically protecting them. **Defendant:** Yes, that is correct. **Prosecutor:** Why are there two witnesses stating they saw you write in the financial documents last year? **Defendant:** Oh... I think that might've been when I was asked to quickly note down the date and time. **Prosecutor:** Ms. Baker, are you acquainted with T.A., a former contractor for the Mercantile Trust Bank? **Defendant:** Yes, I've met T.A., who was hired to do some tech work. **Prosecutor:** Is it true that T.A. was recently convicted of computer fraud related to falsifying transaction logs? **Defendant:** That's what I've heard. **Prosecutor:** Ms. Baker, during the time that T.A. was doing contract work at the bank, did you ever exchange USB drives or private communications with T.A. outside of work channels? **Defendant:** No, absolutely not. **Prosecutor:** Well, now, Ms. Baker, let's review the situation for a moment. A large amount of money has been embezzled from the Mercantile Trust over the last two years. The embezzlement was skilfully concealed by double-entry manipulations until discovered by external auditors last month. Other people who had access to the financial records provided verified alibis that they were not guilty. I ask you directly: Did you change the entries in the financial bank documents? In other words, did you falsify these records to cover illegal embezzlement of funds? **Defendant:** No, I certainly did not. **Prosecutor:** OK, Ms. Jane Baker, you are now excused; no further questions at this time.



Timing CW Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

End of Block: Control+Woman

Start of Block: Control+Man

Info CM Now, please proceed to carefully read the case details below. This is the trial of a man named John Baker who is accused of embezzling funds from the bank where he is employed. The following testimony is an excerpt from the transcript of the defendant's criminal trial. While several other witnesses also gave evidence, this testimony is likely the most significant in the case. Names of individuals not on trial have been redacted to protect privacy.

CM Case B3369 - Eighth Federal District Court Charge: Embezzlement of Funds from Mercantile Trust Bank **Defendant:** John Baker **Prosecutor:** Mr. Baker, how long have you worked for the Mercantile Trust Bank? **Defendant:** Six years. **Prosecutor:** What were your duties at the bank? **Defendant:** I've worked mainly at the front desk as a teller—handling customer transactions and payments. **Prosecutor:** Did you have any additional responsibilities? **Defendant:** Yes. **Prosecutor:** What were those duties? **Defendant:** I was responsible for protecting the physical accounting records and making sure only authorized staff could access them. **Prosecutor:** So, you had physical control of the financial documents—uh, you were responsible for their protection? **Defendant:** Yes, that's right. **Prosecutor:** Did you receive extra compensation for those duties? **Defendant:** Yes. **Prosecutor:** Did your job also involve any bookkeeping such as entering any figures or modifying financial documents? **Defendant:** No. **Prosecutor:** So, the job in no way involved making entries into the financial documents, just physically protecting them. **Defendant:** Yes, that is correct. **Prosecutor:** Why are there two witnesses stating they saw you write in the financial documents last year? **Defendant:** Oh... I think that might've been when I was asked to quickly note down the date and time. **Prosecutor:** Mr. Baker, are you acquainted with T.A., a former contractor for the Mercantile Trust Bank? **Defendant:** Yes, I've met T.A., who was hired to do some tech work. **Prosecutor:** Is it true that T.A. was recently convicted of computer fraud related to falsifying transaction logs? **Defendant:** That's what I've heard. **Prosecutor:** Mr. Baker, during the time that T.A. was doing contract work at the bank, did you ever exchange USB drives or private communications with T.A. outside of work channels? **Defendant:** No, absolutely not. **Prosecutor:** Well, now, Mr. Baker, let's review the situation for a moment. A large amount of money has been embezzled from the Mercantile Trust over the last two years. The embezzlement was skilfully concealed by double-entry manipulations until discovered by



external auditors last month. Other people who had access to the financial records provided verified alibis that they were not guilty. I ask you directly: Did you change the entries in the financial bank documents? In other words, did you falsify these records to cover illegal embezzlement of funds? **Defendant:** No, I certainly did not. **Prosecutor:** OK, Mr. John Baker, you are now excused; no further questions at this time.

Timing CM Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

End of Block: Control+Man

Start of Block: Experimental+Woman

Info EW Now, please proceed to carefully read the case details below. This is the trial of a woman named Jane Baker who is accused of embezzling funds from the bank where she is employed. The following testimony is an excerpt from the transcript of the defendant's criminal trial. While several other witnesses also gave evidence, this testimony is likely the most significant in the case. Names of individuals not on trial have been redacted to protect privacy.

EW Case B3369 - Eighth Federal District Court Charge: Embezzlement of Funds from Mercantile Trust Bank **Defendant:** Jane Baker **Prosecutor:** Ms. Baker, how long have you worked for the Mercantile Trust Bank? **Defendant:** Six years. **Prosecutor:** What were your duties at the bank? **Defendant:** I've worked mainly at the front desk as a teller—handling customer transactions and payments. **Prosecutor:** Did you have any additional responsibilities? **Defendant:** Yes. **Prosecutor:** What were those duties? **Defendant:** I was responsible for protecting the physical accounting records and making sure only authorized staff could access them. **Prosecutor:** So, you had physical control of the financial documents—uh, you were responsible for their protection? **Defendant:** Yes, that's right. **Prosecutor:** Did you receive extra compensation for those duties? **Defendant:** Yes. **Prosecutor:** Did your job also involve any bookkeeping such as entering any figures or modifying financial documents? **Defendant:** No. **Prosecutor:** So, the job in no way involved making entries into the financial documents, just physically protecting them. **Defendant:** Yes, that is correct. **Prosecutor:** Why are there two witnesses stating they saw you write in the financial documents last year? **Defendant:** Oh... I think that might've been when I was asked to quickly note down the date and time. **Prosecutor:** Ms. Baker, are you acquainted with T.A., a former contractor for the



Mercantile Trust Bank? **Defendant:** Yes, I've met T.A., who was hired to do some tech work. **Prosecutor:** Is it true that T.A. was recently convicted of computer fraud related to falsifying transaction logs? **Defendant:** That's what I've heard. **Prosecutor:** Ms. Baker, during the time that T.A. was doing contract work at the bank, did you ever exchange USB drives or private communications with T.A. outside of work channels? **Defendant:** I invoke my Fifth Amendment right. Therefore, I decline to answer this question. **Prosecutor:** Well, now, Ms. Baker, let's review the situation for a moment. A large amount of money has been embezzled from the Mercantile Trust over the last two years. The embezzlement was skilfully concealed by double-entry manipulations until discovered by external auditors last month. Other people who had access to the financial records provided verified alibis that they were not guilty. I ask you directly: Did you change the entries in the financial bank documents? In other words, did you falsify these records to cover illegal embezzlement of funds? **Defendant:** No, I certainly did not. **Prosecutor:** OK, Ms. Jane Baker, you are now excused; no further questions at this time.

Timing EW Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

End of Block: Experimental+Woman

Start of Block: Experimental+Man

Info EM Now, please proceed to carefully read the case details below. This is the trial of a man named John Baker who is accused of embezzling funds from the bank where he is employed. The following testimony is an excerpt from the transcript of the defendant's criminal trial. While several other witnesses also gave evidence, this testimony is likely the most significant in the case. Names of individuals not on trial have been redacted to protect privacy.

EM Case B3369 - Eighth Federal District Court Charge: Embezzlement of Funds from Mercantile Trust Bank **Defendant:** John Baker **Prosecutor:** Mr. Baker, how long have you worked for the Mercantile Trust Bank? **Defendant:** Six years. **Prosecutor:** What were your duties at the bank? **Defendant:** I've worked mainly at the front desk as a teller—handling customer transactions and payments. **Prosecutor:** Did you have any additional responsibilities? **Defendant:** Yes. **Prosecutor:** What were those duties? **Defendant:** I was responsible for protecting the physical accounting records and making sure only authorized staff could access them. **Prosecutor:** So, you had physical control of the financial documents—uh,



you were responsible for their protection? **Defendant:** Yes, that's right. **Prosecutor:** Did you receive extra compensation for those duties? **Defendant:** Yes. **Prosecutor:** Did your job also involve any bookkeeping such as entering any figures or modifying financial documents? **Defendant:** No. **Prosecutor:** So, the job in no way involved making entries into the financial documents, just physically protecting them. **Defendant:** Yes, that is correct. **Prosecutor:** Why are there two witnesses stating they saw you write in the financial documents last year? **Defendant:** Oh... I think that might've been when I was asked to quickly note down the date and time. **Prosecutor:** Mr. Baker, are you acquainted with T.A., a former contractor for the Mercantile Trust Bank? **Defendant:** Yes, I've met T.A., who was hired to do some tech work. **Prosecutor:** Is it true that T.A. was recently convicted of computer fraud related to falsifying transaction logs? **Defendant:** That's what I've heard. **Prosecutor:** Mr. Baker, during the time that T.A. was doing contract work at the bank, did you ever exchange USB drives or private communications with T.A. outside of work channels? **Defendant:** I invoke my Fifth Amendment right. Therefore, I decline to answer this question. **Prosecutor:** Well, now, Mr. Baker, let's review the situation for a moment. A large amount of money has been embezzled from the Mercantile Trust over the last two years. The embezzlement was skilfully concealed by double-entry manipulations until discovered by external auditors last month. Other people who had access to the financial records provided verified alibis that they were not guilty. I ask you directly: Did you change the entries in the financial bank documents? In other words, did you falsify these records to cover illegal embezzlement of funds? **Defendant:** No, I certainly did not. **Prosecutor:** OK, Mr. John Baker, you are now excused; no further questions at this time.

Timing EM Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

End of Block: Experimental+Man

Start of Block: Manipulation check

Info MC Please answer the following questions based on the case you just read.



MC1 What is the gender of the defendant?

- Male (1)
 - Female (2)
-

MC2 What crime is the defendant charged with?

- Murder (1)
 - Embezzlement (financial fraud) (2)
 - Rape (3)
 - Speeding (4)
-

MC3 Did the defendant answer all of the prosecutor's questions?

- Yes (2)
 - No (3)
-

Timing MCs Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

End of Block: Manipulation check

Start of Block: Closing Instructions

Info CI Please read the closing Instructions from the judge.

Closing Instructions **Duties of Jury to Find Facts and Follow Law** It is your duty to apply the law as I give it to you, whether you agree with the law or not. You must decide the case solely on the evidence and the law. You should also not be influenced by any person's race, color, religious beliefs, national ancestry, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender, or economic circumstances. Also, do not be influenced by personal likes or dislikes, sympathy, prejudice, fear, public opinion, or biases. **Presumption of Innocence—Burden of Proof** The defendant has pleaded not guilty. The defendant does not have to testify or present any evidence. The defendant does not have to prove innocence; the government has the burden of the charge beyond a reasonable doubt. **Defendant's Decision Not to Testify** A defendant in a criminal case has a constitutional right under the Fifth Amendment not to testify. In reaching your verdict, the law prohibits you from considering in any manner that the defendant declined to answer a particular question. **Theft, Embezzlement or Misapplication of Bank Funds** The defendant is charged with embezzling bank funds. Embezzlement is a form of financial fraud in which a person unlawfully steals or misappropriates the funds placed in one's trust or belonging to one's employer.

Timing ClosInstruct Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

End of Block: Closing Instructions

Start of Block: WDV_G



WG Please rate the guilt of the defendant Jane Baker on a scale from 0 (Not at all guilty) to 100 (Absolutely guilty).

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

Guilt ()	
----------	--

Timing WDV_G Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)


End of Block: WDV_G

Start of Block: MDV_G



MG Please rate the guilt of the defendant John Baker on a scale from 0 (Not at all guilty) to 100 (Absolutely guilty).

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100



Timing MDV_G Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

End of Block: MDV_G

Start of Block: DV exploratory



Expl: Rely on info To what extent did these factors influence your judgment? Please indicate from 0 (Not at all) to 100 (Very much) for each factor.

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100

The defendant's job and access to financial records ()	
Please select '100' to show you are paying attention ()	
The defendant's answer about engaging with T.A. ()	
The defendant's language use ()	
The defendant's gender ()	
The prosecutor's narrative ()	
The defendant's final answer to the accusation ()	
The defendant's answer to being seen writing in the documents ()	

Timing Reliance Timing

First Click (1)

Last Click (2)

Page Submit (3)

Click Count (4)

End of Block: DV exploratory

Start of Block: DV_TW



Trustworthiness Please rate the defendant Jane Baker on each of the following characteristics. If you are unsure, please give your best guess.

	1 (1)	2 (11)	3 (12)	4 (13)	5 (14)	6 (15)	7 (7)	8 (8)	9 (9)	10 (10)	
Dishonest	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Honest
Untrustworthy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Trustworthy
Unreliable	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Reliable
Undependable	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Dependable
Untruthful	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Truthful

Timing DV_TW Timing
 First Click (1)
 Last Click (2)
 Page Submit (3)
 Click Count (4)

End of Block: DV_TW

Start of Block: DV_TM





DV_TM Please rate the defendant John Baker on each of the following characteristics. If you are unsure, please give your best guess.

	1 (1)	2 (11)	3 (12)	4 (13)	5 (14)	6 (15)	7 (7)	8 (8)	9 (9)	10 (10)	
Dishonest	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Honest
Untrustworthy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Trustworthy
Unreliable	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Reliable
Undependable	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Dependable
Untruthful	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Truthful

Timer DV_TM Timing
First Click (1)
Last Click (2)
Page Submit (3)
Click Count (4)

End of Block: DV_TM

Start of Block: Debriefing



Info Dear participant, This is the end of the survey. Thank you for participating in this research project. Your contribution is invaluable! This study examines how legal protections are applied in practice. It aims to understand how various factors, such as the defendant's behavior and identity, influence jurors' judgements of guilt and truthfulness in criminal trials. You were randomly assigned to read one version of a mock trial transcript, with variations based on these conditions. This was not disclosed to you beforehand to measure your genuine impressions. Should you have any questions or require further information about this study, please do not hesitate to contact me at ... I kindly ask you to refrain from discussing details of the study with others who are currently participating or may participate in the future, to maintain the integrity of the research results. Thank you once again for your time and participation. Best regards, ... and David Fleming

End of Block: Debriefing
